UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/582,065	06/08/2006	David J. Spykerman	026032-5058	1553
	7590 02/06/200 LARDNER LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 500		VERLEY, NICOLE T		
3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/06/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/582,065	SPYKERMAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	NICOLE VERLEY	3616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I.  nely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 De	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6,7,10,14,15 and 18-2</u> 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1,3-5,8,9,11,13,16 and 17</u> is/are reject 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	<u>23</u> is/are withdrawn from conside ted.	ration.			
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 08 June 2006 is/are: a)  Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	☐ accepted or b)☒ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/8/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Claims 6, 7, 10, 14, 15 and 18 - 23 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on December 19, 2008. Claims 18, 20 and 22 have been elected however it is withdrawn since it refers to a non-elected species.

# **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the working example of the pivot point, support structure movably coupled to the base, a user interface as well as the electrical connection of the electric vehicle controls and the hinge must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for

Art Unit: 3616

consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show reference 27 and 30 in figures 10 and 11, 27 is not shown and 30 is not disclosed as storage as described in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner,

the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 3 - 5, 11, 13, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. A working example of the claimed features must be presented for the invention, for example the electrical connections for the vehicle controls how the transmission control module can be locked in position and how the control modules are pivotally connected to the base and how the base is moveably coupled to the vehicle.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

Art Unit: 3616

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1, 3-5, 8, 9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McAndrew et al. (United States Patent Number 6,536,825 B2) in view of Shiomi et al. (United States Patent Number 6,948,582 B2).

As best understood by the examiner McAndrew discloses in figures 3 and 4 a console for use in a vehicle, the console having a base (18) coupled to the vehicle; a vehicle control module (40) movably coupled to the base; and a storage receptacle (32, 80) supported at the base, wherein the vehicle control module is configured to move between a first position (closed shown in figures 1 and 2) wherein the storage receptacle is at least partially concealed by the vehicle control module and a second position (open shown in figures 3 and 4) wherein the storage receptacle is accessible to the a user (regarding claim 1). McAndrew discloses a control panel which can control

Art Unit: 3616

various components of a vehicle, but does not explicitly disclose a transmission control module. However Shiomi discloses a vehicle transmission control (24) coupled an armrest of a vehicle to electrically control gear selection of a vehicle (abstract).

McAndrew and Shiomi are from the same field of endeavor of electrically controlling vehicle functions in a vehicle. At the time of invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add the features of controlling the transmission of Shiomi to the control panel of McAndrew the motivation would have been to place control mechanisms frequently used by the driver in a position that can be easily reached and controlled.

When combined McAndrew and Shiomi teach the vehicle transmission control module (24) is electrically coupled to a vehicle transmission and controls the vehicle transmission with an electrical signal (regarding claim 3) and controls the vehicle transmission without a mechanical linkage (regarding claim 4) has a support structure (40) movably coupled to the base; and a user interface (44) supported by the support structure and configured to allow a user to control the gear selection of the vehicle transmission, wherein user interface is electrically coupled to the vehicle transmission (regarding claim 5). McAndrew teaches the control module (40) is rotably coupled to the base and adapted for rotating between the first position and the second position (regarding claim 8) and further having a hinge (42) for pivotally coupling the vehicle transmission control module to the base, and positioned to allow the vehicle transmission control module to be rotated away from the user (regarding claim 9)

(Column 2 lines 29-52) which is locked in the first or second position as shown in figures 1 or 3 (regarding claim 11).

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 13, 16 and 17 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Okanda (US Publication No. US-2002/0139602 A1), Suman et al. (US Patent No. US-5,161,422 A) and Richardson et al. (US Patent No. US-5,617,929 A).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NICOLE VERLEY whose telephone number is (571)270-3542. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM - 5:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-6952. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/582,065 Page 8

Art Unit: 3616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/N. V./
Examiner, Art Unit 3616
/Eric Culbreth/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3616